

***[NO MINUTES WERE GENERATED ON MONDAY,
SEPTEMBER 4, 2006, DUE TO THE COURT'S
OBSERVANCE OF LABOR DAY.]***

1366

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 2006
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S124739 A101477 First Appellate District, Div. 2 **KEARNEY (KELLY) v. SALOMON SMITH
BARNEY, INC.**

Plaintiffs' motion for relief from default and for permission to file a late petition for rehearing and request for modification, filed on September 1, 2006, is granted.

S138974 C044267 Third Appellate District **LAUB (DON) v. DAVIS (JOSEPH G.)**
Extension of time granted to September 29, 2006, to file answers to amicus briefs by respondent State Water Contractors.

S142066 **MOSLEY (ROBERT M.) ON H.C.**
On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the Informal response (further opposition) is extended to October 5, 2006.

S145098 B187153 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **DODDS (JAYMAR) ON H. C.**
Time extended to grant or deny review to October 13, 2006.

S145188 C052881 Third Appellate District **STEELE (CLAUDE)**
Extension to grant or deny review to October 18, 2006, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.

ADMIN. 2006-9-5

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

INQUIRY CONCERNING JUDGE ROBERT B. FREEDMAN, NO. 179

Upon the request of the Commission on Judicial Performance for the appointment of three special masters in the above proceedings, the following judges, selected by the commission from a list submitted by the Supreme Court, are hereby appointed special masters to hear and take evidence in such matter and report thereon to the Commission. (See rule 121, Rules of Com. on Jud. Performance.)

1. Honorable Eugene M. Premo
Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District
2. Honorable Kathryn Doi Todd
Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two
3. Honorable William A. Mayhew
Superior Court of Stanislaus County

Justice Eugene M. Premo is designated presiding special master.

Dated: September 5, 2006

Chief Justice

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 2006
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on September 5, 2006, at 2:00 p.m.

Present: Chief Justice Ronald M. George, presiding, and Associate Justices Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan.

Officers present: Frederick K. Ohlrich, Clerk; and Gail Gray, Calendar Coordinator.

S122953 Stephen Barrett et al., Plaintiffs and Appellants,
 v.
 Ilena Rosenthal et al., Defendants and Respondents.
Cause called. Ann Brick argued for Amicus Curiae ACLU
Foundation of Northern Calif. et al.
Mark Goldowitz argued for Respondents.
Christopher E. Grell argued for Appellants. Mr. Goldowitz
replied.
Cause submitted.

S128442 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,
 v.
 Shaun Eric Wright, Defendant and Appellant.
Cause called. Erika Hiramatsu, Office of the Attorney General,
argued for Respondent.
Maureen Shanahan argued for Appellant.
Ms. Hiramatsu replied. Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 2006
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on September 6, 2006, at 10:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Ronald M. George, presiding, and Associate Justices Kennard, Baxter, Werdegarr, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan.

Officers present: Frederick K. Ohlrich, Clerk; and Gail Gray, Calendar Coordinator.

S135263 In re Jaime P., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.

The People, Plaintiff and Respondent.

v.

Jaime P., Defendant and Appellant.

To be called and continued to October 2006 calendar.

S133114 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

Gary Rogers Kelly, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. J. Courtney Shevelson argued for Appellant.

Kelly M. Croxton, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

J. Courtney Shevelson replied.

Cause submitted.

Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control, Petitioner,
v.
Alcoholic Beverage Control Appeals Board, Respondent,

Daniel Becerril Quintanar et al., Real Parties in Interest.

Cause called. John Rucker Peirce and Kerry Keach Winters
argued for Petitioner. Ralph Barat Saltsman argued for Real
Party in Interest.

Mr. Peirce replied.
Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. this date.

Court reconvened pursuant to recess.
Members of the Court and Officers present as first shown.

S136690 TRB Investments, Inc. et al., Plaintiff and Appellants,
 v.
 Fireman's Fund Insurance Company, Defendant and
 Respondent.
Cause called. Timothy L. Kleier argued for Appellants. John
V. Hagar argued for Respondent.
Mr. Ginella replied.
Cause submitted.

S133798 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,
 v.
 William Neidinger, Defendant and Appellant.
Cause called. David Andrew Eldridge, Office of the Attorney
General, argued for Respondent.
Victor Haltom argued for Appellant.
Mr. Eldridge replied. Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.

Filed 9/05/2006

**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR
SPECIAL SESSION — SANTA BARBARA
OCTOBER 3 AND 4, 2006**

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its Special Session in the Mural Room of the Santa Barbara Superior Court, 1100 Anacapa Street, Santa Barbara, California on October 3 and 4, 2006

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 3, 2006 -- 9:00 A.M.

Opening Remarks: Historic Special Session

S135263	In re Jaime P.
S129125	City of Goleta v. Superior Court of Santa Barbara County (Oly Chadmar Sandpiper General Partnership, Real Party in Interest)
S056391	People v. Williams (Bob Russell) (Automatic Appeal)

1:30 P.M.

S130717	Philadelphia Indemnity Ins. Co. v. Montes-Harris
S130080	People v. Trujillo (Manuel)

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 4, 2006, AT 9:00 A.M.

S123832	Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians v. Superior Court of Sacramento County (Fair Political Practices Commission, Real Party in Interest)
S129220	Fair v. Bakhtiari (Stonesfair Financial Corp. et al.)
S034725	In re Burton (Andre) on Habeas Corpus

GEORGE

Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must comply with rule 18(c) of the California Rules of Court.